

## MICROCALORIMETRIC STUDIES ON THE PROMOTER FUNCTION IN *E. COLI* TG<sub>1</sub> FROM *P. MALTOPHILIA* AT18 CHROMOSOME DNA

A. Abderrahmane<sup>1</sup>, L. Yi<sup>2</sup>, G. Wen-Ying<sup>2</sup>, S. Ping<sup>1\*</sup> and Q. Song-Sheng<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>College of Life Sciences, Wuhan University, Wuhan 430072, P. R. China

<sup>2</sup>Department of Chemistry, College of Chemistry and Molecular Sciences, Wuhan University, Wuhan 430072, P. R. China

(Received in revised form August 8, 2001)

### Abstract

In this paper, a promoter-probe plasmid pKK232-8 was used as a vector, which functioned in *Escherichia coli* TG<sub>1</sub> host. The plasmid DNA fragments from *Pseudomonas maltophilia* AT18 chromosome DNA active as promoter in *Escherichia coli* TG<sub>1</sub>, the promoter function was studied by means of microcalorimetry, the promoter is about 800 bp DNA, it can promote the chloramphenicol (Cm) gene in plasmid pKK232-8, the Cm resistance level is about 80 µg mL<sup>-1</sup>, the promoter activity is high. It implicates that there are probably many promoters in *Pseudomonas maltophilia* AT18 chromosome. All these information is readily obtained by an LKB 2277-204 heat conduction microcalorimeter. Microcalorimetry is a quantitative, inexpensive, and versatile method for microbiological genetic research.

**Keywords:** *Escherichia coli* TG<sub>1</sub>, microcalorimetry, plasmid pKK232-8, promoter function, *Pseudomonas maltophilia* AT18

### Introduction

*Pseudomonas maltophilia* AT18 is a tyrosinase-producing bacterium isolated from soil [1]. It has been shown that tyrosinase is synthesized intracellularly and constitutively in *P. maltophilia* AT18 [2]. We have employed this property to synthesize melanin [3] and L-dopa [4] by means of an immobilized cell technique. We have also studied its characteristics of genetics, physiology and ecology, and its application.

The promoter probe vector pKK232-8, contained a promoterless chloramphenicol acetyltransferase (CAT) gene, was used to screen for promoter fragments from *Pseudomonas maltophilia* AT18 and determine the activity of the promoter by the resistance to chloramphenicol (Cm).

\* Author for correspondence: Fax: +86 27 87647617, Tel.: +86 27 87218284, 87653859.  
E-mail: liuyi@chem.whu.edu.cn and liuyi@public.wh.hb.cn

The promoter function in *Escherichia coli* TG<sub>1</sub> from *Pseudomonas maltophilia* AT18 chromosome DNA was studied by means of microcalorimetry. It will provide information and basis for new gene expression systems. It is the first report on studying gene expression by the microcalorimetric technique and gives an important idea on other gene expression research.

## Experimental

### *Materials and methods*

The bacterial strains, *E. coli* TG<sub>1</sub>, *E. coli* TG<sub>1</sub>/pKK232-8 and *E. coli* TG<sub>1</sub>/pPAS, used in this study are collected and preserved by the Microbiological Genetics Laboratory of Wuhan University. Plasmid pKK232-8 was used as a promoter-probe vector. LB medium, consisting of NaCl 10 g, tryptone 10 g, bacto yeast extract 5 g per liter, pH 7.2, was sterilized by autoclaving for 20 min at 120°C.

### *Calorimeter*

LKB 2277 Bioactivity Monitor, a type of heat conduction microcalorimeter, was used to determine the metabolism of cells. It is designed to monitor continuously a wide variety of processes and complex systems over the temperature range of 20 to 80°C. There are three operating modes for LKB2277 Bioactivity Monitor: ampoule mode, flow-through mode (including stopped-flow mode) and flow-mix mode. The performance of this instrument and the details of its construction have been described previously [5].

### *Cloning of promoter-function fragments from chromosome DNA of Pseudomonas maltophilia AT18*

The plasmid pKK232-8 is a very useful promoter-probe vector [6]. There are two resistance genes on this plasmid, ampicillin resistance gene (amp<sup>r</sup>) and chloramphenicol resistance gene (cm<sup>r</sup>), and the sequence of the promoter required for the initiation of transcript of a cm<sup>r</sup> gene has been deleted and replaced by a polylinker-site. Chloramphenicol resistance can be regained when a fragment promoter activity is inserted in the correct polarity into this site.

The recombinant transformants having a promoter active fragment, with the site of 800 bp DNA, can be selected directly on plates having Amp and Cm, the recombinant plasmid is called pPAS. There is a direct relationship between chloramphenicol resistance levels and promoter activity.

The cloning of a promoter-active fragment from *Pseudomonas maltophilia* AT18 chromosome DNA into *Escherichia coli* TG<sub>1</sub> has been described previously [7].

*E. coli* TG<sub>1</sub>/pKK232-8 having the plasmid pKK232-8, and *E. coli* TG<sub>1</sub>/pPAS having the recombined plasmid pPAS.

### Preparation of the sample

In this type of experiment, the solution of antibiotics was prepared in sterilized distilled water and prepared freshly every time. In the beginning of the experiment *E. coli* was inoculated in the prepared LB culture medium, initially having  $1 \cdot 10^6$  cells  $\text{mL}^{-1}$ , and the cells used were suspended in the LB culture medium, then the fresh antibiotics solution was added into the cell suspension.

### Calorimetric experiment procedure

The flow-cell was cleaned and sterilized as follows: (1) sterilized distilled water was pumped through the system for 30 min at a flow rate of  $40 \text{ mL h}^{-1}$ ; (2) a  $0.1 \text{ mol L}^{-1}$  solution of HCl was pumped through the system for 30 min at a flow rate of  $40 \text{ mL h}^{-1}$ ; (3) a 75% alcohol solution was pumped through the system for 30 min at a flow rate of  $25 \text{ mL h}^{-1}$ ; (4) a solution of  $0.1 \text{ mol L}^{-1}$  NaOH was pumped through the system for 30 min at a flow rate of  $40 \text{ mL h}^{-1}$ ; (5) sterilized distilled water was again pumped through the system for 30 min at a flow rate of  $40 \text{ mL h}^{-1}$ .

Once the system was cleaned and sterilized, sterilized distilled water was pumped through the system at a flow rate of  $10 \text{ mL h}^{-1}$  to run the baseline. After a stable baseline had been obtained, the cell suspension, having *E. coli* and antibiotics, was pumped into the flow-cell ( $0.6 \text{ mL}$ ) by the aid of an LKB-2132 pump at a flow rate of  $50 \text{ mL h}^{-1}$ . When the flow cell was full, the pump was stopped, and the monitor recorded the thermogenic curves of the growth of *E. coli* continuously at  $37^\circ\text{C}$ .

When the pen of the chart recorder returned to the baseline and stabilized, *E. coli* growth ended. The thermogenic curves of *E. coli* TG<sub>1</sub>, *E. coli* TG<sub>1</sub>/pKK232-8 and *E. coli* TG<sub>1</sub>/pPAS growth at  $37^\circ\text{C}$  have very good reproducibility and correlation. If necessary, further calibration should be carried out after a stable baseline has been obtained.

## Results

### Calculation of the growth rate constant of *E. coli*

In the log phase of growth, the cell growth is exponential [5]. If the cell number is  $n_0$  at time 0, and  $n_t$  at time  $t$ , then

$$n_t = n_0 \exp(kt) \quad (1)$$

$k$  is the growth rate constant. If the power output of each cell is  $w$ , then

$$n_t w = n_0 w \exp(kt) \quad (2)$$

$$P_0 = n_0 w \text{ and } P_t = n_t w, \text{ giving}$$

$$P_t = P_0 \exp(kt) \text{ or } \ln P_t = \ln P_0 + kt \quad (3)$$

The growth thermogenic curves of the log phase correspond to Eq. (3). So, making use of the data  $\ln P_t$  and  $t$  taken from the curves to fit a linear equation, one can ob-

tain the growth rate constant ( $k$ ). The rate constants ( $k$ ) are shown in Table 1. All of the experimental results have a very good reproducibility and correlation.

**Table 1** Data of the experimental results

<i>E. coli</i>	Antibiotics	$C/$ $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$	$k/$ $\text{min}^{-1}$	$P_m/$ $\mu\text{W}$	$t_p/$ $\text{min}$	$I/\%$	$IC_{50}/$ $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$	
TG <sub>1</sub>	–	0	0.03932	45.0	175	–	9.2	
		0.5	0.03630	46.5	160	7.7		
	Amp	2.0	0.03158	45.0	190	19.7		
		3.0	0.02541	31.5	210	32.8		
		5.0	0.02318	8.0	175	41.0		
		12.0	0.01717	3.5	145	56.3		
		0.2	0.03863	43.0	160	1.8		
	Cm	0.5	0.03075	38.0	198	21.8		1.04
		1.0	0.02045	22.0	285	48.0		
		2.0	0.01131	10.0	430	71.2		
–		0	0.03269	39.0	182	–		
TG <sub>1</sub> /pKK232-8	Amp	10	0.03683	43.5	150	–1.1	–	
		60	0.03001	45.0	155	8.2		
		100	0.02988	45.0	175	8.6		
		400	0.03043	47.0	191	6.9		
		700	0.03208	46.5	178	1.9		
		1000	0.02836	49.5	188	13.2		
		1500	0.03302	47.5	160	–1.0		
		0.2	0.02927	40.5	162	10.5		
	Cm	0.5	0.02502	38.0	164	23.5	0.80	
		1.0	0.01565	29.0	270	52.1		
		1.5	0.01490	18.2	312	54.4		
		–	0	0.04505	32.0	141		–
		TG <sub>1</sub> /pPAS	Amp	100	0.03806	35.0		160
200	0.03601			34.5	145	20.1		
400	0.03680			35.0	150	18.3		
800	0.03847			34.0	140	14.6		
1200	0.03958			34.5	145	12.1		
2.5	0.03280			29.5	175	27.2		
Cm	5.0		0.02797	24.5	200	37.9	15.0	
	10.0		0.02401	18.5	210	46.7		
	20.0		0.02203	17.0	245	51.1		
	40.0		0.01434	14.0	320	68.2		
80.0	0.01151	5.8	530	74.5	–			
120.0	0	–	–	100	–			

#### *Inhibitory ratio and half inhibitory concentration*

High concentrations of antibiotics will inhibit *E. coli* growth, and the growth rate constant will decrease. So, the inhibitory ratio ( $I$ ) can be defined as:

$$I = [(k_0 - k_c) / k_0] 100\% \quad (4)$$

where  $k_0$  is the rate constant of the control, and  $k_c$  is the rate constant for *E. coli* growth inhibited by an antibiotics with a concentration of  $C$ .

When the inhibitory ratio ( $I$ ) is 50%, the corresponding half-inhibitory concentration of the antibiotics can be represented as  $IC_{50}$ , which can be regarded as the inhibiting concentration causing a 50% decrease of the *E. coli* growth rate constant. Data for  $I$  are shown in Table 1.

#### *The growth of E. coli TG<sub>1</sub>*

*E. coli* TG<sub>1</sub> is a plasmid-free strain. As shown in Table 1, when the concentration of ampicillin and chloramphenicol increased, the growth rate constant of *E. coli* TG<sub>1</sub> decreased, and the maximum heat power of log growth phase,  $P_m$ , decreased. *E. coli* TG<sub>1</sub> is sensitive to ampicillin (amp) and chloramphenicol (cm),  $IC_{50}$  for ampicillin is  $9.2 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$  and for chloramphenicol,  $IC_{50}$  is  $1.04 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ , that is to say *E. coli* TG<sub>1</sub> is amp<sup>s</sup> and cm<sup>s</sup>. Because *E. coli* TG<sub>1</sub> is host cell, it does not carry ampicillin resistance gene and chloramphenicol resistance gene, so *E. coli* TG<sub>1</sub> is sensitive to ampicillin and chloramphenicol.

#### *The growth of E. coli TG<sub>1</sub>/pKK232-8*

*E. coli* TG<sub>1</sub>/pKK232-8 having the plasmid pKK232-8, there are two resistance genes on this plasmid, ampicillin resistance gene (amp<sup>r</sup>) and chloramphenicol resistance gene (cm<sup>r</sup>), and the sequence of the promoter required for the initiation of transcript of a cm<sup>r</sup> gene has been deleted and replaced by a polylinker-site. So, *E. coli* TG<sub>1</sub>/pKK232-8 is amp<sup>r</sup> and cm<sup>s</sup>. As shown in Table 1, results indicate that *E. coli* TG<sub>1</sub>/pKK232-8 is resistant to ampicillin, even when ampicillin reaches  $1500 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ , *E. coli* TG<sub>1</sub>/pKK232-8 still grows very well, but  $IC_{50}$  for chloramphenicol is  $0.8 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ , it is still sensitive to chloramphenicol, and the resistant level to chloramphenicol has not been raised. Because, the sequence of the promoter required for the initiation of transcript of a cm<sup>r</sup> gene has been deleted and replaced by a polylinker-site, the cm<sup>r</sup> gene does not expressed.

#### *The growth of E. coli TG<sub>1</sub>/pPAS*

*E. coli* TG<sub>1</sub>/pPAS carries the recombinant plasmid pPAS, a promoter-active fragment has been inserted in the correct polarity into the polylinker-site of plasmid pKK232-8 [7]. The experimental results, as shown in Table 1, indicate that *E. coli* TG<sub>1</sub>/pPAS is not only resistant to ampicillin, but also resistant to chloramphenicol. So, *E. coli* TG<sub>1</sub>/pPAS is amp<sup>r</sup> and cm<sup>r</sup>. When the concentration of ampicillin reaches  $1200 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ , and chloramphenicol reaches  $80 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ , *E. coli* TG<sub>1</sub>/pPAS can still grow.  $C_{50}$  for chloramphenicol is  $15 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ . But when the concentration of chloramphenicol reaches  $120 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ , *E. coli* TG<sub>1</sub>/pPAS cannot grow. The experimental results show that the resistant level to chloramphenicol for *E. coli* TG<sub>1</sub>/pPAS

has been raised, the  $cm^f$  gene in the plasmid pKK232-8 has expressed. Because the promoter-active DNA fragment, coming from *Pseudomonas maltophilia* AT18 chromosome DNA, has been inserted in the correct polarity into the polylinker-site of plasmid pKK232-8, the  $cm^f$  gene has expressed, so the resistant level to chloramphenicol for *E. coli* TG<sub>1</sub>/pPAS has been raised. The experimental results show that the 800 bp DNA from *Pseudomonas maltophilia* AT18 chromosome can promote the  $cm^f$  gene expression, it has the gene promoter function in *Escherichia coli* TG<sub>1</sub>.

#### *The relationship between $P_m$ and $C$*

When the concentration of chloramphenicol increased, the growth of *E. coli* was inhibited, the maximum heat power ( $P_m$ ) decreased. If we make a linear regression of  $P_m$  vs.  $C$ , we can obtain the linear relationship between  $P_m$  and  $C$ .

$$\text{TG}_1: \quad P_m = 45.55 - 18.7C, R = -0.9766 \quad (0.2-2.0 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1})$$

$$\text{TG}_1/\text{pKK232-8}: \quad P_m = 45.33 - 17.5C, R = -0.9903 \quad (0.2-2.0 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1})$$

$$\text{TG}_1/\text{pPAS}: \quad P_m = 32.20 - 1.38C, R = -0.9942 \quad (0-10 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1})$$

$$P_m = 20.67 - 0.18C, R = -0.9969 \quad (10-80 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1})$$

#### *The relationship between $t_p$ and $C$*

When the growth of *E. coli* was inhibited, the peak time  $t_p$  of log growth phase increased. We can also make a linear regression of  $t_p$  vs.  $C$ , and obtain the linear relationship between  $t_p$  and  $C$ .

$$\text{TG}_1: \quad t_p = 127.8 + 151.8C, R = 0.9992 \quad (0.2-2.0 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1})$$

$$\text{TG}_1/\text{pKK232-8}: \quad t_p = 124.1 + 128.6C, R = 0.9686 \quad (0.2-2.0 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1})$$

$$\text{TG}_1/\text{pPAS}: \quad t_p = 157.9 + 4.54C, R = 0.9942 \quad (0-80 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1})$$

## Discussion

Transcription is a multiple reaction occurring at promoter area on the template DNA strands and is the first step of gene expression is also a key step, in eukaryotes and prokaryotes (including eubacteria and archaeobacteria). The studies on promoter and structure, function, control of RNA polymerase are developing in depth, especially on eubacteria [8]. Our experiments show that the 800 bp DNA fragment from chromosome of *Pseudomonas maltophilia* AT18 has promoter activity in *E. coli* TG<sub>1</sub>.

From the data in Table 1, it shows that promoter-active DNA fragment, coming from *Pseudomonas maltophilia* AT18 chromosome, has been inserted in the correct polarity into the polylinker-site of plasmid pKK232-8, and the  $cm^f$  gene has been expressed. All experimental results indicate that good results can be obtained by the ap-

plication of microcalorimetric method to microbiological genetics research. And it gives reliable proof for further development of microcalorimetric research of microbiological genetics.

The thermogenic power curves of bacterial metabolism completely describe growth metabolism processes. Under the same conditions, the thermogenic curves of every kind of bacterium all have good reproducibility and outstanding characteristics. When experimental factors were changed, the metabolic process would be influenced, the changes of bacterial metabolic thermogenic curves were also remarkable. Microcalorimetry has been useful in measuring the effects of various substances and culture conditions on the metabolism of the organism [5]. Microcalorimetric studies of bacterial growth reveal temporary details not observable by other techniques. Microcalorimetry can represent growth and metabolic characteristics and reflect variance of physiological and biochemical characteristics.

The microcalorimetric method is efficient for culture collections, bioactivity screening, taxonomy and optimal media components. Now, the detector system is very sensitive, the detection limit is 0.15  $\mu\text{W}$  and baseline stability (over a period of 24 h) is 0.2  $\mu\text{W}$ . Enough accuracy can be obtained only by needing a milligram or a microgram of the sample. It was difficult to do that in the past. The microcalorimetric technique can give and only thermodynamic information, but also a lot of kinetic information of cell growth. The microcalorimetric method has many unique features when it is applied for the detection of biosystems. By monitoring the heat effect with a sufficiently sensitive calorimeter, the metabolic process of living cells can be studied by a direct microcalorimetric method. The calorimetry can directly determine the biological activity of a living system and provide a continuous measurement of heat production, thereby give much information both in qualitative and quantitative ways, and do not disturb the normal activity of biosystems. Although the microcalorimetric method lacks specific property, the research samples have their unique characteristics. So, it can give new results by this method. In some sense, the microcalorimetric method does not only provide thermochemical data, but it has also become a new promising research tool. We believe that microcalorimetry is a useful tool for studying microbiological genetics because it is accurate, objective, simple to perform, reproducible and broadly applicable.

\* \* \*

We gratefully acknowledge the support of the National Natural Science Foundation of China (29973030, 30170010, 39770009), the Yong Mainstay Teacher Program of Chinese Education Ministry, and Young Excellent Teacher's Teaching and Science Research Award Program of Chinese Education Ministry.

## References

- 1 Z. R. Peng, *J. Amino Acid*, 1 (1990) 7.
- 2 X. Hu, Master's Degree Thesis, Wuhan University, Wuhan, P. R. China.
- 3 X. Duan, X. Mao and Z. R. Peng, *J. Wuhan Univ.*, 43 (1997) 249.

- 4 G. L. Wang, X. Mao and Z. R. Peng, *J. Wuhan Univ.*, 44 (1998) 481.
- 5 C. L. Xie, H. K. Tang and S. S. Qu, *Thermochim. Acta*, 123 (1988) 33.
- 6 J. Brosius, *Gene*, 27 (1984) 151.
- 7 G. L. Wang, A. Aza, Z. R. Peng and P. Shen, *FEMS Microbiology Letters*, 185 (2000) 23.
- 8 D. A. Siegele, *J. Mol. Biol.*, 206 (1984) 591.